At one time, “The possibility of restoring the vision in an eye with a congenital unilateral cataract was considered hopeless, and surgery was thought a lost cause,” said Scott R. Lambert, MD, at Stanford University Medical Center in Palo Alto, Calif. “But by learning how to optimize cataract surgery, optical correction, and patching therapy, we have shown it is possible to achieve near-normal vision in many of these patients.”

With publication of its 5-year results, the Infant Aphakia Treatment Study (IATS) helped illuminate the way forward for these children. Three of its steering committee investigators review key lessons learned and how they are being translated into clinical practice.

**Key IATS Recommendations**

Here are the main messages the investigators now try to drive home, said M. Edward Wilson Jr., MD, at the Medical University of South Carolina’s Storm Eye Institute in Charleston.

**Contacts preferred.** “If possible, leave babies [who are] operated on in the first 7 months of life aphakic and use a contact lens, with the plan to implant an intraocular lens [IOL] a few years later,” Dr. Wilson said.

“We didn’t conclude that physicians should never use an IOL in a baby,” he said, but rather, that beginning with a contact lens entails “a less-traumatic surgery with fewer reoperations needed” later on. “Also, when the implant is placed later in childhood, we can better predict the growth of the eye and decide on an IOL power with more accuracy than we can with a very small infant.”

**Exceptions to the rule.** Even so, an IOL might be better for families who have a developmentally delayed child, live a long distance from the doctor, or are expected to have difficulty caring for the contact lenses, said David A. Plager, MD, at Indiana University in Indianapolis. In these cases, infants might otherwise experience significant periods of uncorrected aphakia.

**When to operate.** “There is some evidence that doing cataract surgery in the first month of life may increase the chances of secondary glaucoma in eyes that are microphthalmic,” said Dr. Wilson. “That’s why we now tend to wait until the 4- to 8-week period to do surgery, even if the cataract is identified on the day of birth.” This also gives the baby time to grow and strengthen, he added, making anesthesia safer and usually preventing a hospital stay. But given the risk of amblyopia in these babies, he said, it’s also important to not wait too long.

**Postoperative Complications**

The IATS tracked several postoperative complications, including the following.

**Glaucoma risk.** How likely are babies to develop glaucoma after cataract surgery? Previous estimates of this postoperative risk came from retrospective studies and varied widely, from as little as 5% to as much as 60% or 70%, Dr. Plager said. The risk of glaucoma at 5 years in the IATS was about 20%. “We don’t fully understand why children develop glaucoma after cataract surgery, although younger children appear to be more at risk,” Dr. Lambert noted.

**Are IOLs protective?** Some studies have suggested that an IOL may protect against glaucoma. However, they are retrospective in nature and have a strong selection bias, said Dr. Wilson. “In these studies, the eyes with microphthalmia, an immature iris, and poorly dilating pupils—all markers for an immature trabecular meshwork—
were the eyes that were operated on the youngest and the ones surgeons tended not to implant. But these are also the eyes most at risk for glaucoma.”

The IATS investigators “did not find that the IOL was protective,” Dr. Plager said. “At 5 years, there were no big differences in glaucoma incidence between the contact lens and IOL groups, and I don’t expect to see any at 10 years.”

Vigilance is essential. The IATS also has shown that new cases of glaucoma can continue to present years after surgery in microphthalmic infant cataract eyes. “We have to be vigilant” about following these children, said Dr. Wilson.

Lens reproliferation. Despite good cortical cleanup after cataract surgery in the first 6 months of life, a few lens epithelial cells always remain, said Dr. Wilson. “When we leave the eye aphakic and use a contact lens, the new material those cells create gets trapped within the capsule and can be cleaned up and removed later when an IOL is placed,” he said. “But when an IOL is placed during the early high-growth stage, the material is likely to not stay sequestered.” Instead, he said, it escapes into the pupillary space, causing lens reproliferation into the visual axis.

Although not unexpected, “the high incidence of visual axis opacification in patients with IOLs was quite striking,” said Dr. Lambert, explaining that it occurred most often in the year after surgery and was 10 times more prevalent in the IOL group.³

No preventive strategies. Unfortunately, there aren’t any successful techniques to prevent visual axis opacification, said Dr. Plager. “Some criticized our study, suggesting that we did not use enough steroids, but this is not an inflammatory problem.” Additionally, investigators were given latitude on its use, and babies who received more steroids had the same rate of visual opacification.

Two preventive measures that have been suggested involve injecting mitomycin C (MMC) to kill cells or employing the bag-in-the-lens (BIL) technique with the Tassignon IOL (Morcher). However, both are problematic.

Using MMC “might help, but it would probably cause all kinds of other damage as well,” Dr. Plager said. And the Tassignon IOL is not currently available in the United States. (For more on this IOL and the BIL procedure, see aao.org/clinical-video/bag-in-the-lens-cataract-surgery.)

Improving Visual Outcomes
Congenital cataracts differ from developmental cataracts in that the latter tend to have much better outcomes, said Dr. Wilson. That’s because eyes with developmental cataracts have had relatively normal early experience, he noted. However, the following factors can improve the visual outcomes of infants with congenital cataracts.

Reducing complications. In the IATS, the median visual acuity was the same for both the contact lens and IOL cohorts. However, at 4.5 years, twice as many treated eyes in the contact lens cohort had better than 20/40 acuity. One reason may be that eyes left aphakic and treated with a contact lens didn’t experience as many immediate postoperative complications as those that underwent IOL implantation, Dr. Lambert said.

Correcting refractive error. Another reason for better results in the contact lens group is that you can adjust as the child grows and the refractive error changes, which happens rapidly in the first few years, said Dr. Plager. “You can change the contact lens with a snap of the finger.”

In contrast, when you put in an IOL, you are making an educated guess about the lens power that will be needed when the child is fully grown, he said. Despite the IATS investigators’ efforts on this front, some of the children who received IOLs “became very nearsighted and may need a new lens implant or a refractive surgery like LASIK,” Dr. Plager said.
**Patching.** Another variable with unilateral cataracts is patching, which may reduce the incidence of strabismus, said Dr. Wilson. “With IATS, we patched 1 hour per day per month of age—but patched no more than half the child’s waking hours over the long term.” The goals are to patch enough to allow maximum vision development without compromising the child’s ability to become binocular and to gradually reduce patching, he said. “The unanswered question is, When can you stop? It appears that a lot of patching may interfere with the development of stereopsis in some children.”

**Compliance.** Clearly, compliance also makes a difference in visual outcomes, said Dr. Wilson. “Prognosis is better when parents comply with patching and use the glasses and contacts properly so there isn’t a lot of time when the aphakia is uncorrected.”

This is particularly true in children who were left aphakic. Children who have received IOLs have a little more leeway, as the IOL provides partial refractive correction and glasses are used to fine-tune the correction.
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